Tafas v. Dudas et al Doc. 66 Att. 27

Case 1:07-cv-00846-JCC-TRJ Document 66-28 Filed 11/14/2007 Page 1 of 6

EXHIBIT 16

PART 3 OF 3

Appendix A These comments are timely

The attached correspondence with this author indicates that leave to file these comments after October 1, 2007 was granted.

From: McKelvey, Fred [mailto:Fred.McKelvey@USPTO.GOV]On Behalf Of BPAI Rules

Sent: Monday, October 01, 2007 11:53 AM

To: Ron Katznelson

Subject: RE: Extension of time for Comment on proposed RIN 0651-AC12 including its Paperwork Reduction Act analysis. Your request for a formal extension of time to comment on the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 71 Fed. Reg. 41472 (July 30, 2007) (Rules of Practice Before the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex Parte Appeals) has been received. The process of reviewing comments and determining a final rule has begun today, October 1, 2007. While a formal extension of time will not be granted, any comments received before comment review is complete will be considered. Please feel free to submit any comments as soon as possible.

Fred E. McKelvey Senior Administrative Patent Judge Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences

-----Original Message-----

From: Ron Katznelson [mailto:rkatznelson@roadrunner.com]

Sent: Wednesday, September 26, 2007 7:44 PM

To: bpai.comments@uspto.gov; Robert.Clarke@USPTO.GOV

Subject: Extension of time for Comment on proposed RIN 0651-AC12 including its Paperwork Reduction Act analysis.

I write to request that the Comment period for the proposed Ex Parte Appeal Rules be extended. Because I rely in my comments on results of a survey obtained only recently, it has recently become clear that not enough time remains to adequately structure, complete the analysis and write the Comments by September 28th. An additional 20 days would be appreciated.

Sincerely,

Ron D. Katznelson, Ph.D. Encinitas, CA

Office: 760 753-0668 Mobile: 858 395-1440

rkatznelson@roadrunner.com

Appendix B Numerical Data

		Appeal	s receive	ed in FY	Y end	S.	tions	(%	82	mper
	Fiscal Year	All	Design	UPR	Appeals backlog at FY end	Appeal dispositions	Examiner's final rejections	UPR appeal rate (%)	BPAI staff members	Dispositions/Staff member
So	игсе	1	1	2	1	3	4	5	4	6
Item	Y	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	H	J
	1992				1,871					
	1993	4,487			2,273	4,085			KIND OF STREET	
	1994	4,481			3,754	3,000				
7	1995	5,225		1 2 1 1	5,533	3,446				
	1996	4,139			7,364	2,308	63,754	6.49	84	27.5
	1997	4,639		and the other	9,201	2,802	64,095	7.24	81	34.6
	1998	3,779			8,889	4,091	64,868	5.83	86	47.6
erice en	1999	4,040	70	3,970	8,344	4,585	69,759	5.69	102	45.0
	2000	2,981	39	2,942	6,322	5,003	76,611	3.84	117	42.8
	2001	3,855	26	3,829	5,050	5,127	78,807	4.86	114	45.0
	2002	3,125	18	3,107	3,090	5,085	87,126	3.57	110	46.2
	2003	2,721	25	2,696	1,968	3,843	91,981	2.93	109	35.3
	2004	2,469	18	2,451	985	3,452	96,442	2.54	109	31.7
الجلا	2005	2,834	29	2,805	882	2,937	121,957	2.30	103	28.5
	2006	3,349	6	3,343	1,357	2,874				

Table 2. BPAI workload related statistics by fiscal year. Sources: See below.

Sources:

- 1. USPTO, Annual Reports, at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/com/annual/ and BPAI Process Production Reports at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/docs/process/index.htm.
- 2. UPR Appeals derived by: C = A B, for years data is available.
- 3. Appeal Dispositions derived by: E(Y) = A(Y) + D(Y 1) D(Y)
- 4. Trilateral Patent Offices, Trilateral Statistical Reports. At http://www.trilateral.net/tsr
- 5. UPR Appeal Rate derived by: G = C/F (approximated by G = A/F for years up to 1998).
- 6. Appeal Dispositions per BPAI staff member derived by: J = E/H.

Average incremental costs for preparing Appeal Briefs and Appendix C Replay Briefs compliant with the proposed Appeal Rules

In order to estimate the amount of work in excess of what is done under current practice for the same Appeal Briefs and Reply Briefs, I obtained the relevant characteristics of a small sample of cases in appeals that were before the BPAI. These were examined based on BPAI final decisions as reported most recently on its final decision database.⁵⁰ The prosecution histories available on the USPTO's PAIR system⁵¹ were then consulted and for each case, an estimate was made of the incremental time required for each proposed rule element based on the number of figures in the application on appeal, number of independent claims on appeal, dependent claims on appeal and, where available, the number of claims argued separately. For each proposed rule element, the basis for the calculation and the average incremental time burden across the sample of appeals was entered in Table 4 and Table 5 for the Appeal Brief and Reply Brief respectively. The general statistical characteristics of the appeals sample are provided in Table 3. It should be noted, however, that because the sample is small, no reliable inference can be made on the variance or 'tail' of the probability distribution for each of the attributes identified in Table 3. While the resulting average burdens supplied in Table 4 and Table 5 may be within reasonable confidence limits for the purpose of these comments, the USPTO must provide statistical information on a much larger sample in order to properly establish these burdens and their tail distributions.

	Total number of claims on appeal	Number of independent claims on appeal	Number of Figures in Application on appeal.	Number of pages in Appeal Brief	Number of pages in Examiner's Answer	Number of pages in Reply Brief
Average	18.1	2.4	8.3	20.7	14.8	9.6
Standard Deviation	11.4	1.6	8.5	8.8	7.6	6.2
Minimum	1	1	0	10	6	2
Maximum	45	6	29	44	32	22

Table 3. Sample statistics of the first 17 appeals decided by the BPAI on September 20, 2007. Source: See text.

The number of incremental hours required for the tasks identified in Table 4 and Table 5 are predominantly those of senior patent attorney time with very little paralegal support. According to the economic survey of the AIPLA, the national average billing rate of a patent attorney in 2006 was \$332 per hour.⁵² Therefore, the hourly rate in the tables assumes a \$300/hr blend for the average billing rates of a patent attorney and that of a paralegal assistant.

See http://des.uspto.gov/Foia/BPAIReadingRoom.jsp . The first 17 cases decided on September 20, 2007 were examined.

Available at http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair.

AIPLA Report of the Economic Survey 2007. American Intellectual Property Law Association, Arlington, VA. (July 2007) (Page I-5, Table for Q27, Q28, Q29, Q31).

Item	Section	Proposed	Requirement	Provided	Duplication of material already in		Estimated average Incremental time to comply
				practice ?	Agency	Hours	Source/ Note §
			Appeal Brief				
-	Statement of the real party in Interest	41.37(f)	Identification of the name of the real party in interest	Yes			
7	Statement of related cases,	41.37(g)	Identify all related applications, patents, appeals, interferences or court docket numbers. Include all cases known that relate to, directly affect, or would be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the appeal.	Yes			
6	Jurisdictional statement.	41,37(h)	A statement of the statute under which the appeal is taken, the date of the decision from which the appeal is taken, the date the notice of appeal was filed, and the date the appeal brief is being filed.	In Part			
4	Table of contents.	41.37(e), 41.37(i), 41.37(v)(1)	Identification of the items listed in Proposed 41.37(e) along with a page reference where each item begins.	Rarely		0.0	This estimate is conservative, as many practitioners preparing briefs under the current rules do not know how to use the automated Table-of-Contents facilities of their word processors.
5	Table of authorities	41.37()	List court and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes, and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each authority is cited.	2		1.0	Automated tools require a great deal of manual intervention
9	Status of claims.	41.37(k)	List "status of pending claims" (e.g., rejected—appealed, rejected—not appealed, clancelled, allowable, withdrawn from consideration, or objected to).	Yes			
7	Status of amendments.	41.37(1)	Indicate the "status of amendments" for all amendments filed after final rejection (e.g., entered or not emered).	Yes		H	
σ	Rejections to be reviewed.	41,37(m)	Set out the "rejections to be reviewed," including the claims subject to rejection under each statute.	Yes			
o	Statement of facts.	41.37(n)	Setting out in an objective and non-argumentative manner the material facts relevant to the rejections on appeal. Including scope and content of the prior art, any differences between the claims on appeal and the prior art, and the level of skill in the art.	In Part		1.5	To comply with new specific requirements articulated in the preamble of the NPRM, as those requirements apply to the average claim mix in the Appeals Sample.
10	Argument.	41.37(0)	Contain an argument comprising an analysis explaining, as to each rejection to be reviewed, why the appellant believes the examiner erred as to each rejection to be reviewed. Would have to address all points made by the examiner with which the appellant disagrees.	In Part		9.0	To comply with new specific requirements articulated in the preamble of the NPRM, as those requirements apply to the average claim mix in the Appeals Sample.
	Appendix	Appendix containing:					
7	Claims section	41.37(p)	Accurate clean copy in numerical order of all claims pending in the application, not lust those under rejection. The status of each claim would have to be indicated.	In Part		0.3	To review and include claims not under appeal and identification of their status.
12	Claim support section	41.37(q)	For each claim argued separately, an annotated copy of the claim indicating in bold face between braces ({}) after each limitation where, by page and line numbers, the limitation is described in the specification as filed.	<u>8</u>		2.0	Requires substantial analysis of facts related to all limitations of the claims (including those not raised by the examiner) and are therefore not discussed under current practice. Reflects the requirements as applied to the average claim mix in the Appeals Sample.
13	Drawing analysis section	41.37(r)	For each claim argued separately indicating in bold face between braces ({}) where each !Imitation is shown in the drawings or sequence.	No		1.5	Same comment as for Item 12 above. This estimate is proportional to the number of figures in the application and reflects the requirements as applied to the average claim mix and the figure count in the Appeals Sample.
4	Means or step plus function analysis section	41.37(s)	For each claim argued separately, and for each means or step plus function limitation, provide annotated copy of the claim indicating in bold face between braces (f) the page and line of the specification and the drawing figure and element numeral that describes the structure, material or acts corresponding to each claimed	Ŷ.		0.0	The occurrence of this claiming form has become rare and its average burden is assumed to be de minimus.
15	Evidence section	41.37(i), 41.37(j), 41.37(t), 41.37(v)(1)	Contain papers which have been entered by the examiner and the applicant during prosecution and a table of contents setting forth the contents of the Evidence Section.	In Part	Yes	0 8	The Evidence appendix, its table of contents and pagination requirements of Proposed 41.37(v)(1) as stated are far more precise than the Federal Circult's, and are circularly dependent so that they will require multiple iterations. Assembling and page-numbering the Appendix, and then back-substituting
16	Related cases section	41.37(u), 41.37(v)(1)	Provide copies of orders and opinions required to be cited pursuant to 41.37(g).	In Part	In Part		Appendix page numbers into the body of the brief cannot be done electronically. Based on Federal Circuit brief preparation experience of several practitioners, the attorney time shown is a very conservative cost estimate for actual attorney and paralegal costs.
17	Applicant's time to	o review the ful	Applicant's time to review the full appeal package including required appendices	In Part		0.5	Under current practice, many appeals are filed without client review. Under the proposed rules which establish strict non forgiving criteria that might result in a loss of patent right, more practitioners are expected to demand more exchange, client review and sign-off to reduce their majoractice liability.
						30,	

Total Incremental Hours 10.6 Hourly Rate

\$300/Hr assumes a blend of the average billing rates of a patent attorney and of a paralegal. 2007 AIPLA Economic Survey data for average billing rate of a patent attorney in 2006 is \$332 (Page I-5, Table for Q27, Q28, Q29, Q31).

\$300 Total Incremental Cost \$3,180

Sestimates were made based on a sample of the first 20 appeals decided by the BPAI and published on September 20, 2007. Average numbers: Total claims on appeal - 18.1, with 2.4 independent claims, Figures in the application on appeal - 8.3, Pages in Appeal Brief - 20.7, Pages in Reply Brief - 9.6

Table 5. REPLY BRIEF REQUIREMENTS AND INCREMENTAL COSTS UNDER THE PROPOSED BPAI RULES

Rection Section Rule Section Requirement Provided Pr								
Table of contents. 41.37(i) Identification of the liens listed in Proposed 41.41(d) along with a page No 0.0 Table of contents. 41.37(i) Identification of the liens listed in Proposed 41.41(d) along with a page No 0.0 Table of authorities. 41.41(g)(x) Identification of the liens listed in Proposed 41.41(d) Identification of the additional facts that a page where each A1.41(g)(x) Identification of the additional facts that appellant believes are necessary to A1.41(g)(x) Identification and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes, No 0.1 Statement of 41.41(g)(x) Identification and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes, No 0.1 Statement of 41.41(g)(x) Identification of time was requested and the date the active accordance in the additional facts that appellant believes are necessary to A1.41(g)(x) Identify the point raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates. No 0.6 Statement of 41.41(g)(x) Identify the point raised in the examiner's answer in which the fact relates. No 0.6 Supplemental Appendix: To be provided if the examiner answer is provided a new rejection in the examiner's answer relied in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification of the lemms listed in support of the new A1.41(g) Identification in the examiner's shawer relied in support of the present lack except the appeal prief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and A1.41(g) Identification in the examiner's A1.41(g) Identification in the examiner's A1.41(g) I	Item		Proposed	Requirement		Duplication of material already in	ш	stimated average Incremental time to comply
Table of contents. 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Table of authorities. 41.41(d)(1) reference where each item begins. Table of authorities. 41.41(d)(2) and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each 41.41(d)(2) and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each 41.41(d)(3) and other authorities answer was a related and the date the region as attems on of time was requested and the date the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's answer was a related and the date the acteniner's address the point raised in the axaminer's answer. Time estimates reflect an average including cases having no new rejections. The Examiner's 41.37(t)(1) dentification of the items istad in Proposed 41.41(t) along with a page and 41.37(t)(1) reflections of the items istad in Proposed 41.41(t)(1) dentification of the items istad in the evidence section accompanying No Yess 41.41(t)(1) the appeal which the examiner's answer relied in support of the new Supplemental 41.41(t)(1) the appeal which accentive and wide acceptional and acception accompanying No Yess 41.41(t)(1) the appeal which acception and date in the evidence section accompanying No Yess 41.41(t)(1) the appeal which acceptation and dawnings, U.S. patents and 9.00 and					practice ?	Agency	Hours	
Table of contents. 41.37(i), dentification of the items listed in Proposed 41.41(d) along with a page Table of authorities. 41.41(a)(1), defended and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes. 41.41(a)(2), and other authorities along with a reference to the pages where each and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes. 41.41(a)(a), and other authorities along with a reference to the pages where each administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes. 41.41(a)(a), and other authorities along with a reference to the pages where each administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes. 41.41(a)(b), and other authorities along with a reference to the pages where each and administrative the date an aximiner's answer and the date the administrative the date an aximiner's answer and, as to each fact, must request was granted. 41.41(a)(d), address the points raised in the examiner's answer of which the fact relates. 41.41(a)(d), address the point raised in the examiner's answer of which the fact relates. 41.41(a)(d), address the point raised in the examiner's answer. No general resistement of the case should be repeated and a reply brief. To be provided if the examiner and any proposed 41.41(h) along with a page and 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins. And an average including cases having no new rejections. 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins. And an average including cases having no new rejections. 41.41(h)(d) reference where each item begins in the evaluation accompanying with a page brief, except the examiner's section accompanying with a page brief, except the examiner's section accompanying with a page brief, except the examiner's section accompanying with a page brief, except the examiner's section accompanying with a page brief, except the				Reply Brief				
Table of authorities, 41.37(j), List court and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes, No and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each 41.41(d)(2) and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each 41.41(d)(3) and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each 41.41(d)(3) and other authorities answer was entered and the date her pay briefs it in specified in this subpart. Argument 41.41(d)(4) address the points raised in the examiner's answer and and the date the care hered and address the points raised in the examiner's answer and as to each fact, must included address the points raised in the examiner's answer and answer to which the fact relates. Argument 41.41(d)(4) examiner's answer. No general restatement of the case should be repeated in Part 41.41(d)(5) examiner's answer. No general restatement of the case should be repeated in an average including cases having no new rejection. Table of contents. 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Supplemental 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Answer 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Supplemental 41.37(v)(1) reference promount which the examiner's answer relied in support of the examiner's 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Supplemental 41.37(v)(1) reference where each item begins. Answer 41.37(v)(1) reference account and relied applications.		Table of contents,	41.37(i), 41.37(v)(1), 41.41(d)(1)		ON.			This estimate is conservative, as many practitioners preparing briefs under the current rules do not know how to use the automated Table-of-Contents facilities of their word processors.
Statement of Statement of Statement of Hamiltonian that the reply brief is being timely filed by including a statement of the date the variabler's answer was entered and the date the reply brief is the date the examiner's answer row line subpart, and dress the examiner's answer row without the fact relates. 41.41(d)(4), Statement of the additional facts that appellant believes are necessary to address the points raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates. 41.41(d)(4), Statement of the additional facts that appellant believes are necessary to address the points raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates. 41.41(d)(5), Evaniner answer. No general restatement of the case should be repeated in a reply brief. Supplemental Appendix: To be provided if the examiner entered a new rejections. The Examiner's 41.37(t)(1), reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.37(t)(1), reference where each item begins. Answer 41.41(h)(2), Include a copy of the Examiner's answer relied in support of the new feed of the examiner and the evidence section accompanying in 37(t), Include a copy of the Examiner's 41.37(t)(1), Include a copy of the Examiner's and the evidence section accompanying in 41.37(t)(1) and subject and applications.	2	Table of authorities.	41.37(j), 41.41(d)(2)	List court and administrative decisions (alphabetically arranged), statutes, and other authorities, along with a reference to the pages where each authority is cited.	oN N			Automated tools require a great deal of manual intervention
Statement of additional facts: 41.41(f)(4), address the points raised in the examiner's answer and, as to each fact, must identify the point raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates. 41.41(d)(5), address the point raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates. Supplemental Appendix: To be provided if the examiner entered a new rejection in the examiner's answer. Time estimates reflect an average including cases having no new rejections. The Examiner's 41.37(t), reference where each ftem begins. The Examiner's 41.37(t), reference where each ftem begins. The Examiner's 41.37(t), reference upon which the examiner's answer relied in support of the new 13.37(t), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patients and 41.37(t), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patients and 41.41(th)(3) U.S. published applications.	п	Statement of timeliness	41.41(d)(3), 41.41(e)		o Z			This statement is not required under the current practice. Compliance requires review of the timeline record (possibly of other attorney's)
Argument. Argument. Supplemental Appendix: To be provided If the examiner entered a new rejection in the examiner's answer. Time estimates reflect an average including cases having no new rejections. Table of contents. Arizitin(1) The Examiner's answer. The Examiner's answer. The Examiner's answer. The Examiner's answer. Arizitin(1) The Examiner's answer to which the examiner's answer to which the Reply Brief is directed. Answer. Arizitin(1) All evidence upon which the examiner's answer relied in support of the new Supplemental Arizitin(1); rejection that does not already appear in the evidence section accompanying Arizitin(1); the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings. U.S. patents and Arizitin(1); the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings. U.S. patents and Arizitin(1); the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings. U.S. patents and Arizitin(1); the appeal brief, except the specification.	4	Statement of additional facts.	41.41(d)(4), 41.41(f)	Statement of the additional facts that appellant believes are necessary to address the points raised in the examiner's answer and, as to each fact, must identify the point raised in the examiner's answer to which the fact relates.	In Part			To comply with new specific requirements articulated in the preamble of the NPRM, as those requirements apply to the average claim mix in the Appeals Sample.
Supplemental Appendix: To be provided If the examiner entered a new rejection in the examiner's answer. Time estimates reflect an average including cases having no new rejections. Table of contents. 41.37(t)(1), reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.37(t)(1), reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.37(t)(1), reference where each item begins. Answer 41.37(t)(1), rejection that does not already appear in the evidence section accompanying Road and 1.37(t)(1), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and 41.37(t)(1), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and 41.31(t)(3) U.S. published applications.	ro.	Argument.	41.41(d)(5), 41.41(g)		In Part			To comply with new specific requirements articulated in the preamble of the NPRM, as those requirements apply to the everage claim mix in the Appeals Sample.
Table of contents. 41.37(v)(1), reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.41(h)(1), reference where each item begins. The Examiner's 41.41(h)(2), Include a copy of the Examiner Answer Answer Answer 41.41(h)(2). Include a copy of the Examiner's answer relied in support of the new Supplemental 41.37(v)(1), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and 41.41(h)(3) U.S. published applications.	434	Supplemental At To be provided If the an average including	opendix: examiner et cases havin	on in the examiner				
The Examiner's 41.37(1), All evidence section Evaminer Answer to which the Reply Brief is directed. No Yes Answer 41.37(1), rejection that does not already appear in the evidence section accompanying Evidence section (41.37(v)(1), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and 41.41(h)(3) U.S. published applications.	9	Table of contents.	41.37(i), 41.37(v)(1), 41.41(h)(1)	Identification of the items listed in Proposed 41.41(h) along with a page reference where each item begins.	ON			Fable cannot be generated automatically
Supplemental 41.37(v), the appeal brief, except the specification, any drawings, U.S. patents and 41.41(h)(3) U.S. published applications.	7	The Examiner's Answer	41.37(I), 41.41(h)(2)	Include a copy of the Examiner Answer to which the Reply Brief is directed.	No	Yes		Reformatting and manual pagination required for inclusion
	ω	Supplemental Evidence section	41.37(I), 41.37(v)(1), 41.41(h)(3)	All evidence upon which the examiner's rejection that does not already appear in the appeal brief, except the specification U.S. published applications.	o _N	Yes		To meet Applicant's new burden of reproducing and documenting the Examiner's Answer's evidentiany record including reformatting and manual pagination

\$300/Hr assumes a blend of the average billing rates of a patent attorney and of a paralegal. 2007 AIPLA Economic Survey data for average billing rate of a patent attorney in 2006 is \$332 (Page I-5, Table for Q27, Q28, Q29, Q31).

Total Incremental Hours 3.1

Hourly Rate \$300

Total Incremental Cost \$930

S Estimates were made based on a sample of the first 20 appeals decided by the BPAI and published on September 20, 2007: Average numbers: Total claims on appeal - 18.1, with 2.4 independent claims; Figures in the application on appeal - 8.3, Pages in Appeal Brief - 20.7; Pages in Reply Brief - 9.6